

UNITED STATE . PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

[SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Į	08/009,982	01/27/93	SOKOLOV	0	
			25M1/0727	CHURCH, C EXAMINER	
	ILYA ZBOROV				
	6 SCHOOLHOU			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DIX HILLS,	NY 11746		2506	
				DATE MAILED:	07/27/93
	This is a communication from COMMISSIONER OF PATEI	n the examiner in charge o NTS AND TRADEMARKS	of your application.		
Ø	This application has bee	en examined	esponsive to communication filed on	Ċ	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire					
Part 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:					
	Notice of Referen	nces Cited by Examined by Applicant, PTO-	er, PTO-892. 2. 🔀 Noti	ce re Patent Drawing, ice of Informal Patent	PTO-948. Application, Form PTO-152
,	5. Information on H	ow to Effect Drawing	Changes, PTO-1474. 6		·
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
	1. K Claims	1-11			are pending in the application.
	Of the abo	ove, claims		:	are withdrawn from consideration.
	2. Claims				have been cancelled.
	3. Claims				are allowed.
	4. Claims	-11			are rejected.
	5. Claims				are objected to.
	6. Claims			_ are subject to restric	ction or election requirement.
	7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.				
	8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.				
	9. The corrected or are accepta	substitute drawings h	ave been received on le (see explanation or Notice re Patent Draw		der 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
			sheet(s) of drawings, filed on miner (see explanation).	has (have) been	n 🔲 approved by the
	11. The proposed dr	awing correction, filed	, has been 🔲 ap	proved; disapprov	ed (see explanation).
	2. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on				
:	13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
	14. Other				

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 009,982 Art Unit 2506

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Caldwell. See lines 27-35 of page 2 and 103-108 of page 3.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 4-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Caldwell. Caldwell does not show top and bottom

Serial No. Art Unit

009,982 2506 -3-

covers on his grid, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide same in order to protect the delicate partitions from damage. It would further have been obvious to vaccuate the Caldwell cells in order to minimize x-ray absorption.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

Crang & Church

CRAIG E. CHURCH Senior Examiner ART UNIT 2506